

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION

**RECEIVED**

SEP 18 2018 <sup>uk</sup>

THOMAS G. BRUTON  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Ruben Sanchez  
\_\_\_\_\_,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

U.S. Ill Cook Chicago  
Sudge Lawers cop Union.

Defendant(s).

1:18-cv-06356

Judge Edmond E. Chang

Magistrate Judge Jeffrey T. Gilbert

**COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS**

*This form complaint is designed to help you, as a pro se plaintiff, state your case in a clear manner. Please read the directions and the numbered paragraphs carefully. Some paragraphs may not apply to you. You may cross out paragraphs that do not apply to you. All references to "plaintiff" and "defendant" are stated in the singular but will apply to more than one plaintiff or defendant if that is the nature of the case.*

1. This is a claim for violation of plaintiff's civil rights as protected by the Constitution and laws of the United States under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, and 1986.
2. The court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 1367.
3. Plaintiff's full name is Ruben Sanchez.

*If there are additional plaintiffs, fill in the above information as to the first-named plaintiff and complete the information for each additional plaintiff on an extra sheet.*

12 pages

4. Defendant, US, ILL State, Cook County, and Chicago, is  
(name, badge number if known)
- ☒ an officer or official employed by Judge Lowers;  
(department or agency of government)
- \_\_\_\_\_ or
- ☐ an individual not employed by a governmental entity.

***If there are additional defendants, fill in the above information as to the first-named defendant and complete the information for each additional defendant on an extra sheet.***

5. The municipality, township or county under whose authority defendant officer or official acted is Cook. As to plaintiff's federal constitutional claims, the municipality, township or county is a defendant only if custom or policy allegations are made at paragraph 7 below.

6. On or about 5<sup>15</sup>2010-9<sup>18</sup>2018, at approximately \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m.  
(month, day, year)
- plaintiff was present in the municipality (or unincorporated area) of \_\_\_\_\_  
Chicago, in the County of Cook,  
State of Illinois, at \_\_\_\_\_,  
(identify location as precisely as possible)

when defendant violated plaintiff's civil rights as follows (***Place X in each box that applies***):

- ☐ arrested or seized plaintiff without probable cause to believe that plaintiff had committed, was committing or was about to commit a crime;
- ☐ searched plaintiff or his property without a warrant and without reasonable cause;
- ☒ used excessive force upon plaintiff;
- ☒ failed to intervene to protect plaintiff from violation of plaintiff's civil rights by one or more other defendants;
- ☐ failed to provide plaintiff with needed medical care;
- ☒ conspired together to violate one or more of plaintiff's civil rights;
- ☐ Other:

7. Defendant officer or official acted pursuant to a custom or policy of defendant municipality, county or township, which custom or policy is the following: (**Leave blank if no custom or policy is alleged**): \_\_\_\_\_

8. Plaintiff was charged with one or more crimes, specifically:

Case NO 3613666 NO 10CR15041 NO 15OP 76004<sup>Same Trans</sup> NO 15OP 72522  
NO 15MC1 216205 NO 16CP 043296 NO 16 MC1 196386  
NO 16CP 088288

9. (**Place an X in the box that applies. If none applies, you may describe the criminal proceedings under "Other"**) The criminal proceedings

☒ are still pending. Oct 26 2018 #3613666<sup>-70</sup>

☒ were terminated in favor of plaintiff in a manner indicating plaintiff was innocent.<sup>1</sup>

☒ Plaintiff was found guilty of one or more charges because defendant deprived me of a fair trial as follows With held Evadents, Destroed Evadents  
Lid too Jurys all to protect one of.

☐ Other: there own

<sup>1</sup>Examples of termination in favor of the plaintiff in a manner indicating plaintiff was innocent may include a judgment of not guilty, reversal of a conviction on direct appeal, expungement of the conviction, a voluntary dismissal (SOL) by the prosecutor, or a *nolle prosequi* order.



10. Plaintiff further alleges as follows: **(Describe what happened that you believe supports your claims. To the extent possible, be specific as to your own actions and the actions of each defendant.)**

Judges Lawers and cops abused Power  
to move case to there opinun not  
the truth all to protect there CRU  
and then ~~to~~ coured Behind  
I MMUNITY

When in fact They are in volliton  
of the RECO satchut

11. Defendant acted knowingly, intentionally, willfully and maliciously. *yes*

12. As a result of defendant's conduct, plaintiff was injured as follows:

13. Plaintiff asks that the case be tried by a jury. ☒ Yes ☐ No

14. Plaintiff also claims violation of rights that may be protected by the laws of Illinois, such as false arrest, assault, battery, false imprisonment, malicious prosecution, conspiracy, and/or any other claim that may be supported by the allegations of this complaint.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff asks for the following relief:

- A. Damages to compensate for all bodily harm, emotional harm, pain and suffering, loss of income, loss of enjoyment of life, property damage and any other injuries inflicted by defendant;
- B. ☐ *(Place X in box if you are seeking punitive damages.)* Punitive damages against the individual defendant; and
- C. Such injunctive, declaratory, or other relief as may be appropriate, including attorney's fees and reasonable expenses as authorized by 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

Plaintiff's signature: Ruben Sanchez

Plaintiff's name *(print clearly or type)*: Ruben Sanchez

Plaintiff's mailing address: 9380<sup>s</sup> Kreiter

City Chicago State IL ZIP 60617

Plaintiff's telephone number: (312) 909 8612

Plaintiff's email address *(if you prefer to be contacted by email)*: \_\_\_\_\_

15. Plaintiff has previously filed a case in this district. ☒ Yes ☐ No

*If yes, please list the cases below.*

***Any additional plaintiffs must sign the complaint and provide the same information as the first plaintiff. An additional signature page may be added.***

Page 6 after Crignol Fed case 12 06347.

15op76004=15op72522 Judge let ~~Complanting~~ witness  
take Francis Shirk out of her home with out  
adering to court withmess caught in liys

15op76004={15 MCI 216205 Case Dismissal  
in open Court I say: He took a 75 year old: if  
I don't get it on the record --- Judge shut up:  
Thay Kidnapped a woman I was the Defendent  
I hand delivered transcripet to Chif Judge

Ruben Castale ofice Room 2548 and a wight  
shirt oficer from 4 Districk call him mrmusals  
thiscase Judge allso order si report same  
Doter as in case 10cr15041 said fit with meds

This time says fit with out meds  
Thay took Francis from Home Begain April Never  
Brought Back she sobly oned Bilbling

16 MCI 196386 took my Bick from  
Alfonzo Cazares tride Hang his self in Jail  
gave to some else By police Had to find  
Bick my self case Dismissed

16 cp 088288 ask for vidio tap refused  
case Dismissed



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION

Ruben Sanchez

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

U.S., ILL, Cook, Chicago,  
Judges, Lawyers, cop, etc  
to cop Ununs

Defendant(s).

) ILL Supreme Court # 11-9021  
) " Appel Court 11-0900  
) 12-2354  
) 12-2534  
) 13-0369  
) Case No. Fed 16-3546  
) 12-06347  
) county cook 15 op 72520 }  
) 72522 }  
) 15 MC1 216205  
) 16 MC1 196386  
) 16 CP 088288

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

This case is about Judges turning there Backs  
on truth, allowing Evadents to be dstreard, in  
a atemp to protect there cru then thay cowed  
under the IMMUNITY clous

In fact thay fall  
under the Reco stauget of the head of  
organise crime Because so many Judges  
are invovled and the Evadents of there  
axtion is so delibret thay should Beremoved  
from power with out pention and charged

under the Reco stajut these are truly  
Eril pepol

1 This conduct goes all the way up to  
the US Supreme Court Door where on  
May 21, 2018 Scott S. Harris (clerk) denied my  
case. That they recived stamped Apr 19 2018  
office of the Clerk wrighting post marked  
April 17 2018. Saying i Ciled one day late  
This was after i sent a form postmarked  
April 24 2018 Showing 1040.com TaxGuide  
2018 Federal Legal Holidays April 16 District  
of Colomdia Emancipation Day case # 17M120=  
USCA7 # 16-3546

2 Rayiner Hashem was apointed  
by Judge Edmond E. Chang. Rayiner Hashem in turned  
for a Judge. and said he would quit Befor  
he would adress The origrol Complaint and  
did after 7circuit Judge Manion Roat apinun  
Rayiner Hashem also said he would BE Repamend  
if he did

3 Court of Appeals Seventh Cirruit Judge  
Manion in wrighting ignord the Facts and



roast a vergen to protect his/her cru  
Police Report shows Paramedic was not truthful  
in Photo. show Damage to Body Even though state  
gave foggy Pictur with all Documents  
Judge Manion wrighting is bad NO Jury  
has Every seen Page 5 of the police  
REPORT and NO Jury has EVER seen  
Officer MURPHY Garcia's Partner. In a  
Deposition Murphy said I DO NOT RECALL more  
than 60 times. Manion wrights that they  
could talk about DUI conviction BUT  
Dose not say I could not Talk about  
Garcia Shooting a man and Pay out  
500,000 in front of Judge Amy J.  
St. Eve Case # 14-03/20 Doc 102  
Filed 3-3-2015 When helped ~~from~~ <sup>DUP</sup>  
I never Fell Back to the floor and  
no video tape will ever show that That  
is Y Judge let them destroy Eveadent's  
Letter Dated July 18 2014 Jason Marx  
4 Fed

Judge Edmond E. Chang Gutted original  
Page 9

Complaint and Refused to Look at Bad  
action of People Responsible for Looking  
for FACT and TRUTH sitting IMMUNITY  
CLAWS. Could not talk of city and state Lawyers  
Destroying Evidence they Destroyed Van  
11-23-2010 2 months Before Lower Court trial  
in front of Judge Thomas M Davy. Could  
not speak of letter saying Destroyed Video  
and Audio July 18 2014. Could not talk of  
Davy Refusing to Give me Discovery  
in Lower court trial where Police  
Report and Video, Radio dispatch was  
NOT Shown to the Jury in lower court  
Page 5 Police Report. Could not talk But  
30 seconds about Masif stomach trauma  
LAST operation Oct 15 2009 Released from  
Hospital Nov 3 2009 with order to lay  
in bed 6 months Was not Able to  
show Jury in Chang court where Garcia  
Shot Man from Behind and partners Lidy  
Case # 14-03120 and paid out \$500,000  
Doc #102 Filed 3-3-2015 NOW  
Page 10

Because in CHANG court Room  
on July 15 2015 Pre trial They said ALL  
Police report was NOT there I went  
home and started Look for Report I  
found Page 5 of that report my Self  
At trial in a side Bar in front of the  
Jury the Judge chang seen page 5 said  
the Jury could NOT See it and Look  
that same Jury in the face and said  
they had to beleave I was farly convic  
ed in Davy's Court. Davy never let me  
see police report and that Jury was never  
shone that Report. Manion wrights  
about Appell # 11.900 was Down By Public  
Defender and among outher things Does not  
mention Dec 17 2010 court Date at all  
or Page 5 of police report In ILL  
Supreme Court # 119021 Dec 21 2015 {I all so  
mention that Davy was forst to Reopen  
a case # 1-140420 Opinion Third Division  
Nov 18 2015} WHAT makes This case wers # 15  
Dec 17 2010 Davy Said sik reval Dec 21 2010



Sik change Drug → I DO NOT take sik MEDS ON  
Jan 25 2011 Davy Dose not ask me if i want  
to testafi P D Has knolage that I Do But  
says No. FEB 8 2011 I pass out hit and cut  
Head Sik says giveing to much Drug  
cuts Drug in 1/2. Judge Davy has Left  
the Bench I Beleave with pention {citacin  
should not have to pay tars for that Thugs}

6

to the Date Filed Caseno. #3613666  
has Ben ORDER June 29 2017 and  
transcribe Show ABUSE By Sitting Judge  
{Sentenzing Was Chife Judge. JAGIELSKI}  
{Deserting ——— Judge Kennedy

NEXT COURT DATE

Oct 26 2018 Brig view

Judge Havis ABUSUF treaths